

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bon. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/827,000 04/19/2004                 |                 | Christopher V. Brock | 27635-2                 | 3485             |  |
| 33417                                 | 7590 12/15/2005 |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | SBOIS, BISGAARD | CLEMENT, MIC         | CLEMENT, MICHELLE RENEE |                  |  |
| SUITE-1200                            | IGOERON STREET  |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| LOS ANGELI                            | ES, CA 90012    |                      | 3641                    |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |   | 1  | Application No. Applicant(s)   |  |         |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|---------|--|--|--|
|   |   |  | 10/827,000   | BROCK ET AL.   |         |  |  |  |
|   |   | 1  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |         |  |  |  |
|   |   |  | Michelle (Shelley) Clement   | 3641   |         |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply   | ication appea  | ers on the cover sheet with the o  | correspondence ad  | ldress  |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F<br>CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M<br>nsions of time may be available under the provisions<br>SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm<br>period for reply is specified above, the maximum st<br>re to reply within the set or extended period for reply<br>eply received by the Office later than three months a<br>ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | AILING DAT<br>of 37 CFR 1.136(<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will<br>will, by statute, ca | E OF THIS COMMUNICATION  a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting  apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this o<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | •       |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 1) 又  | Responsive to communication(s) file   | ed on 19 Apri  | I 2004.  |  |         |  |  |  |
| · —   |   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| -   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| Dispositi   | on of Claims  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| •   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 5)[   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠   | ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,7,8,10-15,17 and 19-29</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 7)🖂   | Claim(s) <u>4,6,9,16 and 18</u> is/are objected to.   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 8)□   | Claim(s) are subject to restrict  | tion and/or e  | lection requirement.   |  |         |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌 🤄  | The specification is objected to by the   | e Examiner.  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 10)   | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                  |   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to  | by the Exar  | niner. Note the attached Office  | Action or form P   | ΓΟ-152. |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| _   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim   | for foreign pr   | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a   | )-(d) or (f).  |         |  |  |  |
| a)[   | a) All b) Some * c) None of:  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. |   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  | -  |         |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)  |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | 4) Interview Summary   |  |         |  |  |  |
|   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P  |  | Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F  |  | O-152)  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:      |   |  |  |  |         |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/827,000 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 4/10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 4/10 and 12 recites the limitation "the nose cap". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim, since applicant has previously claimed both an inner hard nose cap and an outer soft nose cap, it is not clear which one constitutes "the nose cap".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5 10-15, 17, 22-25 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gibson et al. (US Patent # 6,615,739). Gibson et al. discloses a less lethal projectile comprising a body having a concentric cavity, a nose cap attached to the body, the nose cap having a plurality of scores/slits therein which will open upon impact allowing a fluid to escape. The projectile comprising a frangible disk to seal fluid in a cavity in the body, the

Application/Control Number: 10/827,000 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

nose cap made of plastic. The nose cap has a rounded front surface. The fluid comprising a marking dye. The projectile comprising a limiting column contained in the body and a second limiting column contained in the cap. The frangible disk is tightly held between a shoulder in the body and a shoulder in the cap. The disk has a cylindrical projection which projects into the fluid. The nose cap smaller in diameter than the body (in as much as applicant's nose cap is smaller).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7, 8, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibson et al. as applied to claims 1 and 14 above. Gibson et al. discloses the claimed projectile except the specific fluid material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a fluid having a density of about 2 or a mixture comprising glycerine and a heavy inorganic material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.
- 8. Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibson et al. See ¶ 5 above. Gibson et al. discloses the claimed projectile except the specific materials for the frangible disk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a disk of polymer coated cardboard, since it has been held to be

Art Unit: 3641

within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4, 6, 9, 16, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would possibly be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### **Conclusion**

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Saxby (US Patent # 4,942,818), Smith (US Patent # 5,001,880), Grinberg (US Patent # 5,009,164), Luxton (US Patent # 5,035,183), Sweeney et al. (US Patent # 3,791,303), Abbott et al. (US Patent # 3,650,313), and Basel et al. (US Patent Application Publications # 2005/0188886 & # 2005/0016412).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle (Shelley) Clement whose telephone number is 571.272.6884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571.272.6873. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/827,000 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR
system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR
system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MUlmes